UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,154	08/28/2003	Nick Horgan	2875.1070001	7047
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WINDER, PATRICE L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/650,154	HORGAN, NICK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrice Winder	2445					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ja</u>	anuary 2009						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-36</u> is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 16-36 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-36</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Onice action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2445

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 13, 2009 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richetta et al., USPN 5,579,307 (hereafter referred to as Richetta).

Art Unit: 2445

4. Regarding claim 16, Richetta taught a system for packet classification (column 5, lines 58-673), comprising:

a pre-processor configured to receive a packet header of an incoming packet, the packet header including a field, and to assign an associated identifier to the field (column 15, lines 65-67; column 16, lines 1-6);

a first memory device, the first memory device including a first set of address data (routing table 1, column 8, lines 26-40; column 9, lines 1-8);

a second memory device, the second memory device including a second set of address data (routing table n, column 8, lines 26-40; column 9, lines 1-8);

third memory device, the third memory device including instructions for applying one of the first and second sets of address data to the associated identifier (memory of satellite 12, column 7, lines 23-32); and

a processor to apply the instructions to the field to match the field to one of the patterns in the first set of address data or the second set of address data (tasks 216 and 218 performed by processors of satellite 12, column 16, lines 32-39; column 17, lines 21-29). Richetta does not specifically teach that the address data is expressed as binary patterns. However, "official notice" is taken that expressing address data as a binary pattern is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that substituting binary patterns for the address data would have been an improvement. The motivation would have been to provide faster processing of incoming packets.

5. Regarding dependent claim 17, Richetta taught the field is a network address (column 6, lines 54-59; column 16, lines 2-6).

- 6. Regarding dependent claim 18, Richetta taught further comprising: a fourth memory device, the fourth memory device configured to store a result corresponding to a matched pattern received from the processor (column 8, lines 48-57).
- 7. Regarding dependent claim 19, Richetta taught further comprising: means for determining priority among one or more matched patterns (column 10, lines 1-8).
- 8. Claim 20 is a method with steps that are substantially the same as the functions of the units associated with the system of claim 16. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected on the same rationale as previously rejected claim 16, above.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 21-36 are allowed.
- 10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art fails to teach or suggestion an instruction decoder that suspends operations for one set of processing elements and restarts operations for that set of processing elements as argued by Applicant in the reply filed on January 11, 2007.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2445

# Response to Arguments

11. Applicant argues – That Richetta does not specifically teach "a first memory device", "a second memory device", "a third memory device" and "a fourth memory device".

a. Applicant's specification is coached in language that clearly indicates the embodiments described in the disclosure are exemplary. As such, each term in the claim has been given its broadest reasonable interpretation. The term "memory device" as such includes memory data structures and arrangement such as Richetta's table.

### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2445

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

March 30, 2009